

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,237	03/23/2004		Kenneth Edward Hunt	16359D2-US	16359D2-US 5034	
7590 06/24/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER	
Darin E. Bartl			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT			
Patent Departm DEERE & CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One John Deer			3671			
Moline, IL 6	1265-8098			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	applicant(s)				
0.65	10/808,237	HUNT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nathan S Mammen	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		od III tilla i vational otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
,						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
2) (P10-1449 or P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/04, 9/04, 4/05</u> .	6) Other:					

ne

Application/Control Number: 10/808,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-26 of copending Application No. 10/808,237. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method steps, while worded slightly differently, are in essence the same.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,237 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

· Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,667,032 to Kamlukin.

The Kamlukin '032 patent discloses a low radius pivoted rear wheel steered mower. While the Kamlukin '032 patent does not describe in detail the method for operating the mower, given its structure, the Kamlukin mower is inherently operated in the same manner as instantly claimed. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to operate the Kamlukin mower in the manner as claimed, since the claimed method and operation would be the normal and logical manner in which to mow a lawn with the Kamlukin mower.

The Kamlukin mower operates by defining a reference axis of rotation (99) at a desired point. The wheels (Fig. 3 - 12, 14, 32, 34) are oriented generally tangentially to a circular region about the reference axis. Rotational mechanical energy is applied to the wheels (12, 13) to rotate the mower about the reference axis.

Regarding claims 2-18: The method steps claimed are inherently capable of being performed by the Kamlukin mower, and a user would inherently perform the various steps of starting and stopping the forward movement of the mower, sharply turning the mower,

Art Unit: 3671

reorienting the mower, driving the mower in a linear path, since these are steps that commonly performed when mowing lawns that are not perfect empty rectangles.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (571) 272-6991. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (571) 272-6998. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.


Nathan S. Mammen
Patent Examiner
Group 3600

NSM 6/22/05





The Navigation System



You can click on one of the boxes in the figure above to find out more about the components of the navigation system: Find out more about the components of the navigation system:

- the <u>on-board (real-time) controller</u> and <u>RCP</u>,
- the off-board controller and TCA,
- the stereo system,
- the obstacle avoidance planner,
- the user interface, or
- the arbiter.

You can also find out how these components are integrated.



LRD Navigation Group - skoenig@cs.cmu.edu (last updated in March 1995)

<u>Comments? Suggestions? Requests?</u> Please send e-mail to <u>lri-feedback+@cs.cmu.edu!</u>

BEST AVAILABLE --